

## REPORT OF THE WORKSHOP ON REMOTE OPTICAL AND ACOUSTIC MAPPING (ROAM)

MAY 20 AND 21, 1988; MONTEREY, CA

AD-A218 225

This report summarizes a workshop on remote optical and acoustic mapping (ROAM) held in Monterey, CA on May 20 and 21. The purpose of the workshop was to evaluate the state of the art in this field to provide advice to the Navy on technology needed to implement the real-time assessment of the distribution, diversity and dynamics of the biota of the oceanic water column.

## I. Presentations

The workshop began with a series of reviews of existing or developing technologies by individuals who are active in the respective areas.

Acoustic Technology

Dual beam systems...Greene

Multiple frequency systems...Holliday/Pieper

Acoustic Doppler Current Profilers...Flagg/Smith

Acoustic Tomography...group

Acoustic tracking systems...Carey

Passive listening systems...group

Optical Technology

Bioluminescence research...Case/Widder

Three-dimensional image analysis...Hamner

DTIC  
ELECTE  
JAN 31 1990  
S D D

**DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A**

Approved for public release  
Distribution Unlimited

90 01 30 025

Low light video systems...Hamner

Spectral analysis...Price

Range-gated video systems...group

### Electronic Technology

Electromagnetic sensing...Kalmijn



Accession For	
NTIS	CRA&I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC	TAB <input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced <input type="checkbox"/>	
Justification	
By	
Distribution /	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and / or Special
A-1	

## II. Caveats

The presentations demonstrated the potential advances that are possible, but two problem areas plague both optical and acoustic techniques.

1. Deploying sensors and instruments where they need to is a logistical problem that frequently determines the success of a particular sensor application.
2. Extracting information from data cheaply and quickly a tremendous problem is, and the masses of data we can expect from any of the systems we have discussed will overload our primitive data processing and management systems.

## III. Conclusions from the Presentations

1. The principal short-term need of optical and acoustic instrumentation deployed at or beneath the sea surface, is gear development. Recent advances in both optical and acoustic technology have shown promise for increasing the scope and resolution of these types of instrumentation. However, additional focused gear development is necessary before these advances can be fully exploited in oceanic biology.

2. Intermediate-term progress in real-time assessment will require the integration of optical and acoustic technologies.
3. The long-term need of optical and acoustic methods is the development of routine procedures for handling of a large volume of data and the development of analytical and interpretive procedures that exploit existing methods for presenting and visualizing data.

#### IV. Future Needs

After discussions of existing or developing systems, the groups discussed what is needed to make the next step and accomplish real-time assessment of the distribution, diversity and dynamics of water column biota. The discussion identified three fundamental requirements:

- 1 - species recognition
- 2 - resolution of spatial distribution patterns
- 3 - measurement of temporal distribution dynamics

Traditionally, acoustical methods have been used for biomass assessment, but with little or no capability for real-time or delayed species recognition. Optical methods on the other hand allow for species recognition but have not been broadly applied to patterns of distribution or quantitative assessments. Acoustic and optical methods provide complementary information which, when integrated, offer the greatest promise for significant progress in research.

#### V. Summary

At the present time the most productive area for technological emphasis by the Navy would be to promote the interface and integration of acoustic and optical systems. In general, researchers have expertise and resources in only one of these technologies. As a result, up to

the present there have been only desultory attempts at combining the methods. By fostering gear development, field research, and analysis procedures, which bring together the two technologies ONR will make a significant contribution to the development of "next generation" methodologies for assessing the biota of the water column.

## REMOTE OPTICAL ACOUSTIC MAPPING WORKSHOP

Dr. Jules Jaffe  
Woods Hole, Ocean. Inst.  
Woods Hole, MA 02543

Dr. Charles H. Greene  
Section of Eco. and Sys.  
Corson Hall Cornell Univ.  
Ithaca, NY 14853

Dr. H.P. Jeffries  
Grad. School of Ocean.  
Univ. of Rhode Island  
Narragansett, RI 02882-1197

Dr. Kendall Carder  
Univ. of So. Florida  
140 Seventh Ave. South  
St. Petersburg, FL 33701-5016

Dr. Holly Price  
Dpt. of Ocean.-Dalhousie Univ.  
Halifax - Nova Scotia,  
Canada, B3H4J1

Dr. Frank Carey  
Biology Dept.  
Woods Hole Ocean. Inst.  
Woods Hole, MA 02543

Dr. James F. Case  
Biology Dept.  
University of Cal.  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Dr. Edith Widder  
Biology Dept.  
University of Cal.  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Dr. William M. Hamner  
Biology Dept.  
University of Cal.  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Dr. Percy Donaghay  
Grad. School of Ocean.  
University of Rhode Island  
Narragansett, RI 02881

Dr. John Ehrenberg  
2429 E. Lake Wash. Blvd.  
Seattle, WA 98122

Dr. D.V. Holliday  
Tracor Inc.  
9150 Chesapeake Drive  
San Diego, CA 92123

Dr. Sharon C. Smith  
Ocean. Sci. Division  
Brookhaven National Lab.  
Upton, Long Island, NY 11973

Dr. Charles Flagg  
Ocean. Sci. Division  
Brookhaven National Lab.  
Upton, Long Island, NY 11973

Dr. Randall S. Alberte  
Code 1122B-Off. of Nav.Res.  
800 N. Quincy St.  
Arlington, VA, 22217-5000

Dr. Richard W. Spinrad  
Code 1125 AO-Off. of Nav.Res.  
800 N. Quincy St.  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Ann C. Bucklin  
Code 1122-Off. of Nav.Res.  
800 N. Quincy St.  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Jannusz Burczynski  
Biosonics, Inc.  
4520 Union Bay Place N.E.  
Seattle, WA 98105

Dr. Ad. J. Kalmijn  
Scripps Inst. of Oceanography  
University of California  
La Jolla, CA 92093

Dr. Curtiss Davis  
Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
Pasadena, CA 91109

Dr. Richard Pieper  
Hancock Inst. of Marine  
and Coastal Studies  
University of So. Cal.  
Los Angeles, CA 90007

Dr. Robert Miyamoto  
Applied Physics Laboratory  
University of Washington  
Seattle, WA 98105

Dr. Richard T. Barber  
Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute  
160 Central Avenue  
Pacific Grove, CA 93950

Dr. Bruce H. Robison  
Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute  
160 Central Avenue  
Pacific Grove, CA 93950

Mike Lee  
Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute  
160 Central Avenue  
Pacific Grove, CA 93950

Dr. Charles Baxter  
Stanford University  
Hopkins Marine Station  
Pacific Grove, CA 93950

## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS None		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Unclassified/unlimited		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) ROAM88			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION ONRR - Stanford University		
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 160 Central Avenue Pacific Grove, CA 93950			7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Room 202 - McCullough Bldg. Stanford, CA 94305-4055		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) N00014	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER N00014-88-J-1158		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) ONR 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.		
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Summary Report of the Workshop on Remote Optical and Acoustic Mapping (ROAM) (Unclassified)					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Richard T. Barber, Bruce Robison					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final		13b. TIME COVERED FROM 5/15/88 TO 5/14/89		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) July, 1988	
15. PAGE COUNT 6					
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION N/A					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP			
			Oceanography, acoustics, optics (EMP)		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)  SEE ATTACHED					
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL ALAN MORRISON			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (415) 723-4187		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL N63375

The purpose of this summary is to provide advice to the Navy with regard to the technological needs of the oceanographic community, to implement the real-time assessment of the distribution, diversity and dynamics of the biota of the oceanic water column.

The important distinctions here are not between optical and acoustic methods, but between satellite, sea-surface and sub-surface deployments of instrumentation.

The long-term needs of satellite-based ocean research appear today to be chiefly those of processing enormous volumes of data. In contrast, the principal short-term needs for both optical and acoustic instrumentation deployed beneath the sea surface, is gear development. Recent advances in both optical and acoustic technology have shown real promise for increasing the scope and resolution of these types of instrumentation.

With the goal of assessing the biota of the oceanic water column, we can identify three fundamental needs: 1) species recognition; 2) resolution of spatial distribution patterns; 3) measurement of temporal distribution dynamics.

Traditionally, acoustical methods have been used for biomass assessment, with little or no capability for real-time species recognition. Optical methods on the other hand allow for species recognition but have not been broadly applied to patterns of distribution. Acoustic and optical methodologies provide complementary types of information which, when integrated, offer the greatest promise for significant progress in research.

At the present time the most promising area for technological emphasis by the Navy would be to promote the interface and integration of acoustic and optical systems. In general, researchers have expertise and resources in only one of these technologies. As a result, there have been only desultory attempts at combining the methods. By fostering field research programs which bring together the two technologies ONR could make a significant contribution to the development of "next generation" methodologies for assessing the biota of the water column.